



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/750,688	12/31/2003	Chan-Bok Chung	11038-172-999	2200
24341	7590 09/28/2005		EXAMINER	
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP.			HEPPERLE, STEPHEN M	
2 PALO ALTO 3000 EL CAM	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO, CA 94306			3753	
				_

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\vee$	/
ok	
	1
lress	-
) DAYS,	
mmunication.	
merits is	:
ner.	
R 1.121(d). O-152.	
· · · · · ·	
Stage	
	1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/750,688	CHUNG, CHAN-BOK					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen M. Hepperle	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		s is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/are	r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
,	ammer. Note the attached office	7.04011 07 101111 1 10-102					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/29/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/750,688

Art Unit: 3753

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the use of "bombe" in the title, specification, and claims, appears to be a translation error. The term "tank" is suggested as a replacement.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cartwright et al.

Cartwright shows a main tank 11 with a fuel pump 15 and a fuel pipe 19 that permits flow from auxiliary tank 13 to the main tank. When the auxiliary tank is lower than the main tank, valve 28 isolates the tanks.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemmon. Lemmon shows a main tank 10 and auxiliary tank 12 connected by passage 16 that will prevent flow into the auxiliary tank when it is lower than the main tank. The intake line 22 does not show a pump. However, it is very well known to place a pump in a fuel tank. It would

have been obvious to put a pump in the Lemmon tank to maintain prime and reduce crowding in the engine compartment.

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Banker shows an arrangement connecting multiple tanks, each with its own in tank pump 14, 15, with an isolation valve (Fig. 2) intended to control flow when one tank is higher than the other. Asken shows a similar device, with an isolation valve 42, between two tanks, where there is no fuel pump. Parker shows a single tank with two pick-up tubes 5, 9, connected by isolation valve 2. One tube has an in tank pump 12. Henderson shows a similar system with isolation valve 10.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Hepperle whose telephone number is 571-272-4913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 571-272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,688 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen M. Hepperle Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753

**SMH**